UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/612,188 | 07/02/2003 | Keisuke Aoki | 450100-04648 | 5303 |
| | 7590 01/12/201 AWRENCE & HAUG | EXAMINER | | |
| 745 FIFTH AV | | DUONG, CHRISTINE T | | |
| NEW YORK, N | NJ 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2462 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/12/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/612,188 | AOKI, KEISUKE | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CHRISTINE DUONG | 2462 | |

| | CHRISTINE DUONG | 2462 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL |). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 | avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a). | e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see NOī v); | ΓE below); | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | i be entered and an e. | xpianation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been conside because: See Continuation Sheet. | ered but does NOT place the applic | · | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Seema S. Rao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2462 | /Christine Duong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2462 | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Previous minor informality objection to claims 1, 2, 8, 10, 21, 31 are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

The proposed amendment, which amends claims 1, 2, 8, 10-12, 18, 20-21, 31, 41, which now specifies "in a predetermined order based upon the instruction set" in claim 1 line 19, claim 2 lines 23-24, claim 8 line 20, claim 10 lines 18-19, claim 11 lines 15-16, claim 12 lines 18-19, claim 18 lines 15-16, claim 20 lines 18-19, claim 31 line 28, claim 41 line 17; "from the data memory with said DMA circuit in a predetermined order" in claim 21 line 20-21 have not been previously presented and now alters the scope of the claims; this issue will require further search and consideration.

In addition, the proposed amendment, which amends claims 1, 2, 8, 10-12, 18, 20-21, 31, 41, which now no longer specifies "storing in an order that said plurality of video and audio data units are to be multiplexed" in claim 1 lines 15-16, claim 2 lines 17-18, claim 8 lines 16-17, claim 10 lines 15-16, claim 11 lines 12-13, claim 12 lines 14-15, claim 18 lines 12-13, claim 20 lines 12-13, claim 41 line 11; "sequentially" in claim 1 lines 19, claim 2 line 23, claim 8 line 19, claim 10 line 18, claim 11 line 15, claim 12 line 18, claim 18 line 15, claim 20 line 18, claim 21 lines 19-20, claim 31 lines 27-28, claim 41 lines 16-17 have been previously presented and now broadens the scope of the claims; this issue will require further search and consideration.